

Citation: Kobayashi M, Kato T, Washiyama K, Ihara M, Mizutani A, Nishi K, et al. (2019) The pharmacological properties of 3-arm or 4-arm DOTA constructs for conjugation to α -melanocytestimulating hormone analogues for melanoma imaging. PLoS ONE 14(3): e0213397. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213397

Editor: Gayle E. Woloschak, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, UNITED STATES

Received: November 4, 2018

Accepted: February 20, 2019

Published: March 22, 2019

Copyright: © 2019 Kobayashi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper.

Funding: This study was partly funded by Grantsin-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (Nos. 15K09949 and 16KK0020 for Masato Kobayashi and 15K15452 for Keiichi Kawai), the Program of the Network-type Joint Usage/Research Center for Radiation Disaster Medical Science of Hiroshima RESEARCH ARTICLE

The pharmacological properties of 3-arm or 4-arm DOTA constructs for conjugation to α-melanocyte-stimulating hormone analogues for melanoma imaging

Masato Kobayashi^{1,2}*, Toshitaka Kato², Kohshin Washiyama^{2,3}, Masaaki Ihara², Asuka Mizutani², Kodai Nishi⁴, Leo G. Flores, 2nd⁵, Ryuichi Nishii⁶, Keiichi Kawai^{2,7}

 Wellness Promotion Science Center, Institute of Medical, Pharmaceutical and Health Sciences, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Japan, 2 Department of Health Sciences, Institute of Medical, Pharmaceutical and Health Sciences, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Japan, 3 Advanced Clinical Research Center, Fukushima Global Medical Science Center, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, Japan, 4 Department of Radioisotope Medicine, Atomic Bomb Disease Institute, Nagasaki University, Nagasaki, Japan, 5 Department of Pediatrics, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, United States of America, 6 Molecular Imaging Center, National Institute of Radiological Sciences, Chiba, Japan, 7 Biomedical Imaging Research Center, University of Fukui, Fukui, Japan

* kobayasi@mhs.mp.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

Abstract

Background

Although a 3-arm DOTA construct, which has three carboxylic acids, h has been applied for conjugation to many peptides, we investigated if a 4-arm DOTA construct conjugated to peptides improves chemical properties for melanoma imaging of the melanocortin 1 receptor compared to 3-arm DOTA-conjugated peptides.

Methods

Specific activities, radiolabeling efficiencies, and partition coefficients were evaluated using ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA- α -melanocyte-stimulating hormone (MSH). For assessment of MC1-R affinity and accumulation in tumor cells in vitro, B16-F1 melanoma and/or 4T1 breast cancer cells were incubated with ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH with and without α -MSH as a substrate. The stability was evaluated using mouse liver homogenates and plasma. Biological distribution and whole-body single photon emission computed tomography imaging of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH were obtained using B16-F1 melanoma-bearing mice.

Results

Specific activities and radiolabeling efficiencies of both radiotracers were about 1.2 MBq/nM and 90–95%, respectively. The partition coefficients were -0.28 ± 0.03 for ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH and -0.13 ± 0.04 for ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH. Although accumulation was significantly inhibited by α -MSH in B16-F1 cells, the inhibition rate of ¹¹¹In-

[•] These authors contributed equally to this work.

University, Nagasaki University, and Fukushima Medical University, and the Nakatani Foundation for measuring technologies in biomedical engineering. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

labeled 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH was lower than that of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA-α-MSH. ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH was taken up early into B16-F1 cells and showed higher accumulation than ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA-α-MSH after 10 min of incubation. Although these stabilities were relatively high, the stability of ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH was higher than that of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA-α-MSH. Regarding biological distribution, ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH showed significantly lower average renal accumulation (1.38-fold) and significantly higher average melanoma accumulation (1.32-fold) than ¹¹¹Inlabeled 3-arm DOTA-α-MSH at all acquisition times. ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH showed significantly higher melanoma-to-kidney, melanoma-to-blood, and melanoma-tomuscle ratios than ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA-α-MSH.

Conclusions

The 4-arm DOTA construct has better chemical properties for peptide radiotracers than the 3-arm DOTA construct.

Introduction

The incidence rate of malignant melanoma has been steadily increasing over the past 40 years. The 5-year survival rate with stage IV metastatic melanoma is currently less than 20% because few effective treatments have been established [1,2]. Because survival is associated with an earlier stage at detection and treatment, specific and highly detectable imaging of melanoma tumors is strongly desired.

The melanocortin 1 receptor (MC1-R) is one of the most targeted melanoma antigens and belongs to the melanocortin family of G protein-coupled receptors, which consists of five receptor subtypes, MC1-R to MC5-R. MC1-R is expressed in nearly all primary and metastatic melanomas [3] and 95% of uveal melanomas [4]. MC1-R is an attractive receptor for molecular-targeted imaging and radionuclide therapy of melanoma. α -melanocyte-stimulating hormone (α -MSH), a tridecapeptide, is an endogenous ligand for the melanocortin family of receptors, with subnanomolar binding affinity to MC1-R [5].

The native α -MSH peptide hormone (Ac-Ser¹-Tyr²-Ser³-Met⁴-Glu⁵-His⁶-Phe⁷-Arg⁸-Trp⁹-Gly¹⁰-Lys¹¹-Pro¹²-Val¹³-NH₂) is proteolytically processed from proopiomelanocortin and is primarily responsible for regulation of skin pigmentation [6, 7]. Alpha-MSH peptides bind the MC1-R selectively with nanomolar to subnanomolar affinities [8, 9]. Although the native α -MSH peptide has been directly radiolabeled with radioiodine etc., it shows low specific activity, low MC1-R affinity [10], and poor stability [11]. The addition of non-natural amino acids yielded α -MSH analogues with greater affinity and stability [11].

Radionuclide-labeled 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid (DOTA)chelate has been conjugated to many peptides and provides better specific activity, MC1-R affinity, and stability for melanoma imaging of MC1-R [12]. Although the 3-arm DOTA construct, which has three carboxylic acids, has been applied for conjugation to many peptides, the 4-arm DOTA construct, which has four carboxylic acids, improves radiochemical yield, specific activity, and stability of therapeutically active conjugates to antibodies for radioimmunotherapy of cancer [13]. In this study, we investigated if the 4-arm DOTA construct conjugated to peptides improves the chemical properties for melanoma imaging of MC1-R compared to 3-arm DOTA-conjugated peptides. Numerous α -MSH conjugated peptide a

b



Fig 1. Structures of the 3-arm DOTA construct (a) and 4-arm DOTA construct (b). https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.7697861.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213397.g001

analogues have been developed with high affinities and specificities for α -MSH receptors [14]. Native α -MSH, as an example of a peptide, was selected to evaluate improvement of chemical properties using the 4-arm DOTA construct because 3-arm DOTA-conjugated native α -MSH (3-arm DOTA- α -MSH, Fig 1A) yielded relatively low MC1-R affinity and stability compared to other 3-arm DOTA-conjugated peptides [14].

Materials and methods

Reagents and radionuclides

 α -MSH free acid was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). The chelating agent DOTA and *N*-hydroxysuccinimidyl (NHS)-ester and *S*-2-(4-Isothiocyanatobenzyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraaza-cyclododecane tetraacetic acid (p-SCN-Bn-DOTA) were obtained from Macrocyclics, Inc. (Plano, TX, USA). ¹¹¹InCl₃ was purchased from FUJIFILM RI Pharma Co., Ltd. (Chiba, Japan).

Synthesis and purification of 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA constructs

The conjugation procedure was performed using the methods of Maguire and Greg et al., with some modifications [13,15]. Briefly, to form the 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH, α -MSH free acid (1 eq) was dissolved in purified water (10 mg/mL solution) and conjugated to DOTA-NHS-ester (40 eq), which was dissolved in N,N-dimethylformamide (40 mg/mL solution), using 0.1 M NaHCO₃ buffer (pH 7–8) for 6 hours at room temperature.

For 4-arm DOTA-conjugated native α -MSH (4-arm DOTA- α -MSH, Fig 1B), p-SCN-Bn-DOTA (10 eq) was dissolved in *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (40 mg/mL solution). α -MSH free

acid (1 eq, 10 μ g/mL purified water solution) was conjugated to p-SCN-Bn-DOTA using 0.1 M NaHCO₃ buffer (pH 7–8) for 24 hours at room temperature.

These resulting products were purified using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, Hitachi, Ibaraki, Japan) using a 5C18-AR-II column (Cosmosil, Nakalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan), a combination gamma counter, and 210-nm ultraviolet light. For the 3-arm DOTA construct, the initial solvent was 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid in water (pH 2.2): acetonitrile at 78:22 after injection, and was gradually changed to 74:26 after 20 min as the eluent at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. For the 4-arm DOTA construct, the initial solvent was 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid in water (pH 2.2): acetonitrile at 78:22 after injection, and was gradually changed to 75:25 after 40 min as the eluent at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min.

Radiolabeling, purification, and partition coefficients

The 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH were labeled by the addition of ¹¹¹InCl₃ in 1 M CH₃COONH₄ buffer (pH 5.5, 100 mL) at 70 °C for 5 min [16,17]. ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH were purified by reversed phase-HPLC. For 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH, the initial solvent was 0.01% trifluoroacetic acid in water (pH 2.5): acetonitrile at 76:24, and was gradually changed to 75:25 after 20 min as the eluent at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min and UV detector (895 V and 210 nm). For 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH, the solvent was 0.01% trifluoroacetic acid in water (pH 2.0): acetonitrile at 73:27.

The partition coefficients of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH were measured using 2.0 mL n-octanol as the organic phase and 2.0 mL 0.1 M phosphate buffer as the aqueous phase (pH 7.4 for plasma) [18]. N-octanol and the buffer were pre-mixed twice using a mechanical mixer for 1 min at room temperature. Then, 20 vL radioactive sample were added and mixed twice using a mechanical mixer for 1 min at room temperature. The radioactivity of 200 uL of each phase was measured after centrifugation. Calculation of log (n-octanol/0.1 M phosphate buffer) was performed.

Tumor cells

Mouse skin melanoma B16-F1 cells with high MC1-R expression and mouse breast cancer 4T1 cells with low MC1-R expression were obtained from American Type Culture Collection. B16-F1 cells and 4T1 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (Wako, Osaka, Japan) and Roswell Park Memorial Institute-1640 (Wako), respectively, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Dainippon Sumitomo, Osaka, Japan) at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ incubator.

In vitro assays with B16-F1 and 4T1 cells

In vitro assays were performed using our methods, with some modifications [19]. Briefly, for the inhibition study, B16-F1 and 4T1 cells were seeded into 24-well cell culture multiwell plates at a density of 5×10^5 cells/well. Assays were conducted 24 hours after seeding. B16-F1 and 4T1 cells were pre-incubated with each type of medium including 1.0 vM α -MSH for 180 min at 37°C. B16-F1 and 4T1 cells were incubated for 180 min with ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm or 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH.

For the accumulation study with ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH, each well was incubated with each type of medium for 10 min at 37°C. Then, 20 kBq/well ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm or 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH was added and incubated for 10, 30, 60, 120, and 180 min at 37°C as the control condition. At the end of the incubation in the inhibition and accumulation studies, each well was rapidly washed twice with 500 μ L 0.5 M HEPES buffer. Cells were then solubilized in 500 μ L 0.1 M NaOH. The radioactivity that accumulated in B16-F1 and 4T1 cells

was measured with a gamma counter (ARC-380; Hitachi-Aloka Medical, Tokyo, Japan). B16-F1 and 4T1 cells were detached with trypsin, and the protein in the cells was measured using a protein assay. All experimental conditions were examined with quadruplicate assays.

Stability analysis of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH in mice

All animal studies were conducted following approval by the Animal Care Committee of Kanazawa University (AP-122339). Fasted B16-F1-bearing C57BL6 male mice (5 weeks old) were administered ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm or 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH (3.7 MBq/mouse) via the tail vein. At 10, 30, 60, 120, and 180 min after injection, mice (n = 3 per time point) were euthanized with isoflurane, and blood and liver were collected and analyzed with thin layer chromatography (TLC). Briefly, 300 uL blood in a heparinized tube was centrifuged at 18,000 ×g at 4°C for 5 min. A total of 30 uL perchloric acid was added to the supernatant, which was centrifuged again, after which the final supernatant was spotted onto the TLC plate as plasma. Krebs-Ringer phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) was added to the liver samples, followed by homogenization with an ultrasonic homogenizer (SONIFIER250, Branson, MO, USA). Then, ethanol was added to the homogenate to remove proteins, and the sample was centrifuged at $18,000 \times g$ at 4°C for 5 min. The final supernatant was spotted onto the reverse-phase TLC plate, and the TLC spots were developed using 50 mM EDTA (pH 4-5) solvents. After development and complete drying, the TLC plates were cut into 21 fractions, and the radioactivity associated with each fraction was quantified using a γ -ray counter. The fraction ratios of ¹¹¹In³⁺, ¹¹¹Inlabeled 3-arm or 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH, and all metabolites were calculated by dividing the radioactive counts for each fraction by the total radioactivity counts. A stability study showed that the fraction of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm or 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH remained the same at all injection times.

Biological distribution and whole-body single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) imaging of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH in B16-F1-bearing mice

C57BL6 male mice (5 weeks old) were transplanted with B16-F1 cells (5×10^5 cells/100 µL) into the thigh of the mice [19]. The tumors appeared and gradually increased in size after about 10 days. The mice were housed and continuously monitored each day for 2 weeks in a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle with free access to food and water. The tumor size reached 8.7 ± 2.8 mm at 2 weeks after transplant of cells. Two mice with tumor sizes of 13 and 15 mm were excluded from our experiments. Mice with a tumor size <10 mm were fasted with no food overnight with water supplied ad libitum before experiments.

For biological distribution studies, B16-F1-bearing mice were administered ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm or 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH via the tail vein (37 kBq/mouse). At 10, 30, 60, 120, and 180 min after injection, the mice were euthanized under isoflurane (n = 3 per time point), and the following tissues were collected: brain, lung, heart, stomach, liver, small intestine, large intestine, kidney, blood, muscle, and B16-F1 melanoma. Tissues were weighed, and radioactivity was quantified using an automated γ -ray counter to calculate the percent injected dose (%ID) or percent injected dose per gram of tissue (%ID/g).

For SPECT imaging, ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm or 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH was injected into the tail vein of four B16-F1-bearing mice in total (37 MBq/mouse). For SPECT imaging, acquisition was started at 10 min after injection and continued every 10 min for 180 min. The data were reconstructed using the ordered subset expectation maximization method with 16 subsets and six iterations including no scatter and attenuation correction. The voxel size was set to 0.8×0.8×0.8 mm. Post-reconstruction smoothing filtering was applied using a 1.0-mm

Gaussian filter. Image displays were obtained using medical image data analysis software, AMIDE (ver. 1.04). The coronal images were displayed as maximum intensity projections. In these images, three to five regions of interest were placed over the kidney, muscle, and B16-F1 melanoma in which accumulation could be seen, and the time activity curve of each was obtained as the %ID/g.

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as the mean and standard deviation (SD). P values were calculated using a two-tailed Student's *t*-test. Results were considered to be significant at P < 0.01.

Results

Specific activity, radiolabeling efficiency, and partition coefficients of 111 In-labeled 3-arm or 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH

Specific activities of both ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH were about 1.2 MBq/ nM. With HPLC analysis, the retention times were 2.5–3.0 min for ¹¹¹In³⁺, 14–17 min for ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH, and 20–22 min for ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH. Radiolabeling efficiencies of both ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH were 90– 95%. Non-carrier-added radiotracers for both compounds were obtained with a radio-chemical purity of >95% after purification. For TLC analysis of partition coefficients, the R_f values of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH, 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH, and ¹¹¹In³⁺ were 0.35–0.45, 0.40– 0.50, and 0.00, respectively. Partition coefficients were –0.28 ± 0.03 for ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH and –0.13 ± 0.04 for ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH.

In vitro assays with B16-F1 and 4T1 cells

Fig 2 shows the studies without and with the substrate of 1.0 μ M α -MSH and ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH in B16-F1 melanoma cells with high MC1-R expression and 4T1 breast cancer cells with low MC1-R expression measured with real-time qPCR. Accumulation of both ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH was significantly inhibited by α -MSH in B16-F1 cells, but the inhibition rate of ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH was lower than that of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH. In 4T1 cells, we found no significant accumulation of either radiotracer.

The accumulation of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH in B16-F1 cells is shown in Fig 3. ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH was taken up early into the B16-F1 cells and accumulated more than ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH after 10 min of incubation.

Stability analysis of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH in mice

 R_f values of some metabolites were 0.10–0.30. Few metabolites were seen at 10 and 30 min after injection (Table 1). At 60 min after injection, the fraction ratios of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA-α-MSH were about 86.4%, 84.3%, and 85.7% in the plasma, liver, and kidney of the mice, respectively, whereas the fraction ratios of ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH were about 92.1%, 91.3%, and 93.3%, respectively. At 120 min after injection, the fraction ratios of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA-α-MSH were about 79.2%, 71.6%, and 78.5% in the plasma, liver, and kidney of the mice, respectively, whereas the fraction ratios of ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTAα-MSH were about 84.2%, 78.6%, and 84.5%, respectively. At 180 min after injection, the fraction ratios of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA-α-MSH were about 73.5%, 62.9%, and 74.3% in the plasma, liver, and kidney of the mice, respectively, whereas the fraction ratios of ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH were about 80.8%, 76.2%, and 80.5%, respectively.





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213397.g002



Fig 3. In vitro assays of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA-α-MSH (\blacksquare) and 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH (\bullet) in B16-F1 melanoma cells. ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH was taken up early into B16-F1 cells and showed higher accumulation than ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA-α-MSH after 10 min of incubation. **P < 0.01 and *P < 0.05 between ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA-α-MSH and 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.7697885.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213397.g003

	Time (min)	10	30	60	120	180
	Plasma (%)	96.1 ± 2.0	95.1 ± 1.8	86.4 ± 2.5	79.2 ± 2.2	73.5 ± 2.4
¹¹¹ In labeled 3-arm DOTA-α-MSH	Liver (%)	95.7 ± 2.1	95.5 ± 1.9	84.3 ± 2.2	71.6 ± 4.5	62.9 ± 6.7
	Kidney (%)	95.8 ± 2.0	95.9 ± 1.7	85.7 ± 2.8	78.5 ± 2.7	74.3 ± 4.1
	Plasma (%)	96.0 ± 2.5	95.8 ± 2.6	92.1 ± 2.1	84.2 ± 2.2	80.8 ± 2.3
¹¹¹ In labeled 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH	Liver (%)	95.1 ± 2.9	94.9 ± 2.1	91.3 ± 3.2	78.6 ± 4.9	76.2 ± 4.1
	Kidney (%)	96.1 ± 2.0	95.8 ± 1.9	93.3 ± 3.5	84.5 ± 3.3	80.5 ± 2.8

Table 1. Stability analysis of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH in plasma, liver, and kidney of mice by ex vivo.

All data are the mean \pm standard deviation from triplicate measurements using three mice per time point

https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.7697815

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213397.t001

Biological distribution and whole-body SPECT imaging of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH in B16-F1-bearing mice

The biological distributions of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH (Table 2) and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH (Table 3) were obtained in B16-F1 melanoma-bearing mice. Radioactivity in the liver, kidney, and blood rapidly increased immediately after injection and then gradually decreased. In the lung and melanoma, the radioactivity reached a peak at 30–60 min after injection. Very little radioactivity was present in other organs. In kidney, ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH provided significantly lower average accumulation (1.38-fold) than ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH at all acquisition time points, whereas ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH provided significantly higher average accumulation (1.32-fold) than ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH in B16-F1 melanoma. Whole-body SPECT images of B16-F1-bearing mice were obtained for ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm (a) and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH (b) at 170–180 min after injection (Fig 4). The main accumulation was found in kidney and B16-F1 tumors in images. In particular, accumulation of ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH was higher than that of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH in B16-F1 melanoma. In other organs, little accumulation of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH was observed.

Organ (%ID/g or %ID/organ)]	l0 min			30 min			50 min]	20 mi	n	1	80 mi	n
Brain	0.04	±	0.01	0.05	±	0.02	0.05	±	0.01	0.03	±	0.00	0.02	±	0.00
Lung	0.51	±	0.15	0.66	±	0.24	0.31	±	0.09	0.27	±	0.09	0.10	±	0.04
Heart	0.74	±	0.15	0.66	±	0.13	0.46	±	0.15	0.14	±	0.06	0.06	±	0.02
Stomach*	0.06	±	0.03	0.10	±	0.03	0.12	±	0.03	0.11	±	0.05	0.12	±	0.03
Liver	2.30	±	1.04	1.15	±	0.92	1.12	±	0.86	1.23	±	0.47	0.72	±	0.15
Small intestine*	0.04	±	0.03	0.08	±	0.02	0.09	±	0.03	0.12	±	0.03	0.10	±	0.03
Large intestine*	0.03	±	0.01	0.08	±	0.02	0.09	±	0.03	0.05	±	0.03	0.04	±	0.03
Kidney	23.55	±	3.63	18.42	±	5.57	11.87	±	5.40	8.42	±	4.27	7.07	±	3.02
Blood	3.11	±	0.84	1.91	±	0.45	0.89	±	0.22	0.21	±	0.06	0.05	±	0.02
Muscle	0.21	±	0.07	0.20	±	0.08	0.15	±	0.08	0.09	±	0.04	0.05	±	0.02
Melanoma	1.71	±	0.64	1.81	±	0.45	2.49	±	0.62	3.36	±	0.90	3.51	±	0.78

Table 2. Biological distribution of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA-α-MSH.

%ID/g indicates percent injected dose per gram of tissue.

*%ID/organ was calculated from %ID per organ. Values are the average ± standard deviation.

Values are the mean \pm standard deviation obtained from three mice per time point.

https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.7698227

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213397.t002

Organ (%ID/g or %ID/organ)		10 min			30 min		60 min		120 min			180 min			
Brain	0.04	±	0.01	0.03	±	0.01	0.03	±	0.01	0.02	±	0.00	0.01	±	0.00
Lung	0.42	±	0.12	0.52	±	0.14	0.22	±	0.08	0.13	±	0.04	0.06	±	0.01
Heart	0.55	±	0.11	0.46	±	0.10	0.38	±	0.08	0.14	±	0.03	0.05	±	0.01
Stomach*	0.09	±	0.02	0.09	±	0.03	0.08	±	0.02	0.05	±	0.01	0.05	±	0.01
Liver	2.52	±	0.73	1.45	±	0.74	1.18	±	0.69	0.90	±	0.33	0.61	±	0.12
Small intestine*	0.05	±	0.01	0.04	±	0.01	0.03	±	0.01	0.02	±	0.00	0.02	±	0.00
Large intestine*	0.03	±	0.01	0.03	±	0.02	0.04	±	0.01	0.03	±	0.01	0.03	±	0.01
Kidney	17.14	±	4.12	13.33	±	3.87	8.12	±	3.11	6.31	±	2.11	5.19	±	1.82
Blood	4.03	±	0.93	2.02	±	0.54	1.01	±	0.28	0.11	±	0.04	0.03	±	0.00
Muscle	0.10	±	0.03	0.12	±	0.05	0.08	±	0.02	0.04	±	0.00	0.03	±	0.00
Melanoma	2.22	±	0.65	2.25	±	0.63	3.34	±	0.93	4.52	±	1.01	4.83	±	1.03

Table 3. Biological distribution of ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH.

%ID/g indicates percent injected dose per gram of tissue.

*%ID/organ was calculated from %ID per organ. Values are the average ± standard deviation.

Values are the mean ± standard deviation obtained from three mice per time point.

https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.7698230

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213397.t003

Table 4 summarizes melanoma-to-kidney and melanoma-to-muscle ratios. The average melanoma-to-kidney ratios for ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA-α-MSH were 0.07, 0.10, 0.21, 0.40, and 0.50 at 10, 30, 60, 120, and 180 min after injection, respectively. For ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH, the ratios were 0.13, 0.17, 0.41, 0.72, and 0.93, respectively. The average melanoma-to-blood ratios for ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA-α-MSH were 0.55, 0.95, 2.80, 16.00, and 65.00 at 10, 30, 60, 120, and 180 min after injection. For ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH, the ratios were 0.55, 1.11, 3.31, 41.09, and 155.81, respectively. The average melanoma-to-muscle ratios for ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA-α-MSH were 8.14, 9.05, 16.60, 37.33, and 66.23 at 10, 30, 60, 120, and 180 min after injection. For ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH, the ratios were 2.20, 18.75, 41.75, 113.00, and 155.81, respectively.

Discussion

In this study, the 4-arm DOTA construct was newly applied for conjugation to α -MSH to evaluate specific activity, radiolabeling efficiency, MC1-R affinity, stability, and tumor accumulation in melanoma imaging. Although specific activity and radiolabeling efficiency of ¹¹¹Inlabeled 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH were not much different from those of 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH, which is the generally used DOTA construct, specific activity was quite low compared to labeling with other MC1-R analogues [14,20]. Because the partition coefficients of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH were negative, these radiotracers are water soluble and are usually renally excreted. We observed high renal accumulation and excretion of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH (Fig 4). However, the lipophilicity of ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH was slightly closer to zero than that of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH according to the partition coefficients. We estimated that the lipophilicity resulted in a slightly different distribution between ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH and ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH (Tables 2 and 3).

In the inhibition study (Fig 2), because accumulation of both ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH was significantly inhibited by the substrate, α -MSH, in B16-F1 cells with high MC1-R expression, and not inhibited in 4T1 cells with low MC1-R expression, both



Fig 4. Whole-body SPECT images of B16-F1-bearing mice under 2.0% isoflurane anesthesia injected with 37 MBq ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH (a) or ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH (b) at 170–180 min after injection. The highest accumulation was seen in the tumors and kidney for both radiotracers. We observed that the tumor accumulation of ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH was higher than that of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH. <u>https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.7697897</u>.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213397.g004

radiotracers bound to MC1-R. Although the inhibition rate of ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH was lower than that of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH at 180 min after incubation, the amount of inhibition of ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH (mean 3.28) was higher than that of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH (mean 2.67) according to the calculation from Figs

Time (min)	Melanoma	a-to-kidney	Melanom	a-to-blood	Melanoma-to-muscle			
	3-arm DOTA-α-MSH	4-arm DOTA-α-MSH	3-arm DOTA-α-MSH	4-arm DOTA-α-MSH	3-arm DOTA-α-MSH	4-arm DOTA-α-MSH		
10	0.07 ± 0.03	$0.13 \pm 0.07^{*}$	0.55 ± 0.13	0.55 ± 0.14	8.14 ± 1.44	22.20 ± 3.35**		
30	0.10 ± 0.04	$0.17 \pm 0.11^{**}$	0.95 ± 0.30	$1.11 \pm 0.28^{*}$	9.05 ± 1.38	$18.75 \pm 4.45^{**}$		
60	0.21 ± 0.04	$0.41 \pm 0.15^{**}$	2.80 ± 0.58	3.31 ± 0.35**	16.60 ± 3.28	$41.75 \pm 6.38^{**}$		
120	0.40 ± 0.09	$0.72 \pm 0.18^{**}$	16.00 ± 3.21	$41.09 \pm 4.78^{**}$	37.33 ± 4.23	113.00 ± 7.33**		
180	0.50 ± 0.10	0.93 ± 0.15**	65.00 ± 4.64	155.81 ± 12.93**	66.23 ± 4.21	155.81 ± 8.63**		

Table 4. Melanoma-to-organ ratios of ¹¹¹ I	In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH in B16-F1-bearing n	nice.
-------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------	-------

All data were mean \pm standard deviation measured in four mice.

 $^{**}P < 0.01$ and

*P < 0.05 between ¹¹¹In labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.7698239

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213397.t004

2 and 3. Therefore, nonspecific accumulation of ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH (mean 0.92) was higher than that of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA-α-MSH (mean 0.23). This moderate nonspecific accumulation is estimated to be caused by lipophilicity of ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH. In Fig 3, the time-activity curve of ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH was significantly higher than that of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA-α-MSH in B16-F1 melanoma cells. In the in vitro study, the high accumulation of ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH may be caused by the effect of lipophilicity and high affinity for MC1-R in B16-F1 melanoma cells.

Moderate stability of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH was found at all time points (Table 1). The stability of ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH was higher than that of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH. In addition, these stabilities were relatively higher than another peptide radiotracer conjugated to 3-arm DOTA [21]. One reason may be that eightcoordinate complexes of ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA conjugation are generally more stable than seven-coordinate complexes of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA conjugation [22, 23].

In the biological distribution of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm (Table 2) and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH (Table 3) and whole-body SPECT imaging (Fig 4), accumulation of ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH was significantly higher than that of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH in B16-F1 melanoma. This also supports the similar results from the in vitro study in Fig 3. ¹¹¹Inlabeled 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH showed significantly lower renal accumulation than ¹¹¹Inlabeled 3-arm DOTA-α-MSH at all acquisition times. The number of carboxy groups affects electric charges in the whole body and changes the whole-body distribution of peptide radiotracers [24]. Thus, the effect of electric charges may yield lower renal accumulation. For peptide radiotracers, reducing renal accumulation is important. Behr et al. showed that systemic administration of cationic amino acids reduces renal reabsorption and accumulation of peptide radiotracers [25]. However, amino acid infusion may change the whole-body distribution and increase background accumulation in muscle, blood, and kidney etc. On the other hand, ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH showed significantly higher melanoma accumulation than ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH. This may be because of the slightly higher lipophilicity, significantly higher MC1-R affinity, and relatively higher stability of 4-arm DOTA-α-MSH compared to 3-arm DOTA-α-MSH. If renal accumulation is reduced and tumor accumulation is increased using other peptides conjugated to the 4-arm DOTA construct compared with the 3-arm DOTA construct, the 4-arm construct will be a simple and useful DOTA construct for labeling of peptide radiotracers.

The melanoma-to-kidney, melanoma-to-blood, and melanoma-to-muscle ratios (Table 4) of ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH were significantly higher than those ratios of ¹¹¹In-

labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH. However, these ratios were relatively lower than those of other ¹¹¹In-labeled DOTA peptides [12] because we selected the native α -MSH peptide hormone to evaluate the chemical properties between the 3-arm DOTA and 4-arm DOTA constructs. We selected native α -MSH as a good peptide to evaluate improvement of chemical properties using the 4-arm DOTA construct because 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH has relatively low yield, MC1-R affinity, and stability compared to other 3-arm DOTA-conjugated peptides [14]. The IC₅₀ values of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH were 4.8 ± 0.5 nM and 5.3 ± 0.4 nM, respectively. These are similar to or better than those of numerous ¹¹¹In-labeled α -MSH analogues (0.9–78.6 nM) [12]. Therefore, utilization of α -MSH for comparison of ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm and 4-arm DOTA construct, melanoma-to-tissue ratios will improve more than other ¹¹¹In-labeled DOTA peptides with the 3-arm DOTA construct. Thus, 4-arm DOTA peptides will be effective for tumor diagnosis because of their slightly higher lipophilicity, higher MC1-R affinity, and relatively higher stability compared to 3-arm DOTA peptides. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.7698239

For internal radiation therapy, we can use 4-arm DOTA constructs with ²²⁵Ac labeling instead of ¹¹¹In. ²²⁵Ac-labeled 4-arm DOTA peptides are estimated to form eight-coordinate complexes similar to ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA peptides because the chemical characteristics and properties of ²²⁵Ac are similar to those of ¹¹¹In [26]. Therefore, ²²⁵Ac-labeled 4-arm DOTA peptides may provide higher melanoma accumulation, better internal radiation therapy, and relatively lower renal accumulation, similar to ¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA peptides.

Conclusion

¹¹¹In-labeled 4-arm DOTA- α -MSH yields higher tumor accumulation and lower renal accumulation than ¹¹¹In-labeled 3-arm DOTA- α -MSH because the chemical properties of the 4-arm DOTA construct include slightly higher lipophilicity, significantly higher MC1-R affinity, and relatively higher stability. Therefore, 4-arm DOTA constructs provide better chemical properties for peptide radiotracers than 3-arm DOTA constructs.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Mikie Ohtaki and the other laboratory staff members of Kanazawa University, National Institutes of Health, and The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Masato Kobayashi, Kohshin Washiyama, Leo G. Flores, 2nd, Ryuichi Nishii, Keiichi Kawai.

Data curation: Masato Kobayashi.

Formal analysis: Masato Kobayashi.

Funding acquisition: Masato Kobayashi.

Investigation: Masato Kobayashi, Toshitaka Kato, Masaaki Ihara, Asuka Mizutani, Kodai Nishi, Leo G. Flores, 2nd, Keiichi Kawai.

Methodology: Masato Kobayashi, Toshitaka Kato, Kohshin Washiyama, Masaaki Ihara, Asuka Mizutani, Kodai Nishi, Leo G. Flores, 2nd.

Project administration: Masato Kobayashi, Asuka Mizutani, Kodai Nishi, Ryuichi Nishii.

Software: Masato Kobayashi.

Supervision: Masato Kobayashi, Kohshin Washiyama, Leo G. Flores, 2nd, Ryuichi Nishii, Keiichi Kawai.

Validation: Masato Kobayashi.

Visualization: Masato Kobayashi, Masaaki Ihara.

Writing - original draft: Masato Kobayashi.

Writing – review & editing: Keiichi Kawai.

References

- American Cancer Society. What Are the Key Statistics About Melanoma? http://www.cancer.org/ cancer/skincancer-melanoma/detailedguide/melanoma-skin-cancer-key-statistics. Accessed 2018.
- Cancer Research UK Skin cancer incidence statistics. http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/cancer-info/ cancerstats/types/skin/incidence/uk-skin-cancer-incidence-statistics. Accessed 2018.
- Salazar-Onfray F, López M, Lundqvist A, Aguirre A, Escobar A, Serrano A, et al. Tissue distribution and differential expression of melanocortin 1 receptor, a malignant melanoma marker. Br J Cancer. 2002; 87(4):414–22. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6600441 PMID: 12177778
- López MN, Pereda C, Ramírez M, Mendoza-Naranjo A, Serrano A, Ferreira A, et al. Melanocortin 1 receptor is expressed by uveal malignant melanoma and can be considered a new target for diagnosis and immunotherapy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2007; 48(3):1219–27. <u>https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.06-0090 PMID: 17325166</u>
- Schiöth HB, Muceniece R, Wikberg JE. Characterization of the binding of MSH-B, HB-228, GHRP-6 and 153N-6 to the human melanocortin receptor subtypes. Neuropeptides. 1997; 31(6):565–71. PMID: 9574823
- Lerner AB, McGuire JS. Effect of alpha- and betamelanocyte stimulating hormones on the skin colour of man. Nature. 1961; 189:176–9. PMID: <u>13761067</u>
- Lin JY, Fisher DE. Melanocyte biology and skin pigmentation. Nature. 2007; 445(7130):843–50. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05660 PMID: 17314970
- Sawyer TK, Staples DJ, Castrucci AM, Hadley ME, al-Obeidi FA, Cody WL, et al. Alpha-melanocyte stimulating hormone message and inhibitory sequences: comparative structure-activity studies on melanocytes. Peptides. 1990; 11(2):351–7. PMID: 2162535
- Cone RD, Lu D, Koppula S, Vage DI, Klungland H, Boston B, et al. The melanocortin receptors: agonists, antagonists, and the hormonal control of pigmentation. Recent Prog Horm Res. 1996; 51:287– 317. PMID: 8701084
- Ghanem GE, Comunale G, Libert A, Vercammen-Grandjean A, Lejeune FJ. Evidence for alpha-melanocyte-stimulating hormone (alpha-MSH) receptors on human malignant melanoma cells. Int J Cancer. 1988; 41(2):248–55. PMID: 2828246
- 11. Sawyer TK, Sanfilippo PJ, Hruby VJ, Engel MH, Heward CB, Burnett JB, et al. 4-Norleucine, 7-D-phenylalanine-alpha-melanocyte-stimulating hormone: a highly potent alpha-melanotropin with ultralong biological activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1980; 77(10):5754–8. PMID: 6777774
- Zhang C, Lin KS, Bénard F. Molecular imaging and radionuclide therapy of melanoma targeting the melanocortin 1 receptor. Mol Imaging. 2017; 16:1536012117737919. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1536012117737919 PMID: 29182034
- Maguire WF, McDevitt MR, Smith-Jones PM, Scheinberg DA. Efficient 1-step radiolabeling of monoclonal antibodies to high specific activity with ²²⁵Ac for α-particle radioimmunotherapy of cancer. J Nucl Med. 2014; 55(9):1492–8. https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.114.138347 PMID: 24982438
- Quinn T, Zhang X, Miao Y. Targeted melanoma imaging and therapy with radiolabeled alpha-melanocyte stimulating hormone peptide analogues. G Ital Dermatol Venereol. 2010; 145(2):245–58. PMID: 20467398
- 15. Greg T. Hermanson. Bioconjugate Techniques. second edition. 2008: pp.170
- Roosenburg S, Laverman P, Joosten L, Cooper MS, Kolenc-Peitl PK, Foster JM. et al. PET and SPECT imaging of a radiolabeled minigastrin analogue conjugated with DOTA, NOTA, and NODAGA and labeled with ⁶⁴Cu, ⁶⁸Ga, and ¹¹¹In. Mol Pharm. 2014; 11(11):3930–7. https://doi.org/10.1021/ mp500283k PMID: 24992368

- Pujatti PB, Foster JM, Finucane C, Hudson CD, Burnet JC, Pasqualoto KFM, et al. Evaluation and comparison of a new DOTA and DTPA-bombesin agonist in vitro and in vivo in low and high GRPR expressing prostate and breast tumor models. Appl Radiat Isot. 2015; 96:91–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apradiso.2014.11.006 PMID: 25479439
- Kawai K, Fujibayashi Y, Saji H, Yonekura Y, Konishi J, Kubodera A, et al. A strategy for the study of cerebral amino acid transport using iodine-123-labeled amino acid radiopharmaceutical: 3-iodo-alphamethyl-L- tyrosine. J Nucl Med. 1991; 32(5):819–24. PMID: 2022989
- Kobayashi M, Nishii R, Shikano N, Flores LGw 2nd, Mizutani A, Ogai K, et al. Development of radioiodine-labeled 4-hydroxyphenylcysteamine for specific diagnosis of malignant melanoma. Nucl Med Biol 2015; 42:536–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2015.02.004 PMID: 25744361
- Slastnikova TA, Rosenkranz AA, Morozova NB, Vorontsova MS, Petriev VM, Lupanova TN, et al. Preparation, cytotoxicity, and in vivo antitumor efficacy of ¹¹¹In-labeled modular nanotransporters. Int J Nanomedicine. 2017; 12:395–410. https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S125359 PMID: 28138237
- Popp I, Del Pozzo L, Waser B, Reubi JC, Meyer PT, Maecke HR, et al. Approaches to improve metabolic stability of a statine-based GRP receptor antagonist. Nucl Med Biol. 2017; 45:22–9. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2016.11.004 PMID: 27865999
- 22. Price EW, Orvig C. Matching chelators to radiometals for radiopharmaceuticals. Chem Soc Rev. 2014; 43(1):260–90. https://doi.org/10.1039/c3cs60304k PMID: 24173525
- Wadas TJ, Wong EH, Weisman GR, Anderson CJ. Coordinating radiometals of copper, gallium, indium, yttrium, and zirconium for PET and SPECT imaging of disease. Chem Rev. 2010; 110(5):2858–902. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr900325h PMID: 20415480
- Froidevaux S, Calame-Christe M, Tanner H, Eberle AN. Melanoma targeting with DOTA-alpha-melanocyte-stimulating hormone analogs: structural parameters affecting tumor uptake and kidney uptake. J Nucl Med. 2005; 46(5):887–95. PMID: 15872364
- Behr TM, Goldenberg DM, Becker W. Reducing the renal uptake of radiolabeled antibody fragments and peptides for diagnosis and therapy: present status, future prospects and limitations. Eur J Nucl Med. 1998; 25(2):201–12. PMID: 9473271
- 26. KannengieRer S. Optimization of the Synthesis of Ac-225-labelled DOTA-Radioimmunoconjugates for Targeted Alpha Therapy based on Investigations on the Complexation of Trivalent Actinides by DOTA. 2013;pp229.